namic

Diastereoselective, Zinc-Catalyzed Alkynylation of α -Bromo Oxocarbenium Ions

Tatsiana Haidzinskaya,†,§ Hilary A. Kerchner,‡,§ Jixin Liu,§ and Mary P. Watson*

Department of Chemistry a[nd B](#page-2-0)iochemistry, University [of D](#page-2-0)elaware, N[ew](#page-2-0)ark, Delaware 19716, Unit[ed](#page-2-0) States

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-2-0)STRACT: [We have d](#page-2-0)eveloped a bromination/alkynylation sequence that enables efficient transformation of simple cyclic enol ethers to difunctionalized products. The success of this strategy relies on a highly diastereselective, zinc-catalyzed addition of terminal alkynes to α -bromo oxocarbenium ions, formed in situ via ionization

of acetal precursors. Using this method, trans-α-alkynyl-β-halo pyran and furan derivatives can be prepared with high diastereoselectivity and excellent functional group tolerance.

α-Substituted oxygen heterocycles represent a privileged scaffold in both natural products and bioactive molecules.¹ A powerful approach to deliver α -carbon substituents to these oxygen heterocycles is the alkynylation of an acetal subst[ra](#page-2-0)te. The alkyne can then be elaborated to a range of α -carbon substituents. However, known methods for the addition of alkynes to acetals often require either a strong base or a functionalized alkyne, thereby limiting functional group tolerance or convenience $(Scheme 1A).^{2,3}$ Previously, we

reported the addition of unfunctionalized terminal alkynes to cyclic oxocarbenium ions using either a zinc or copper catalyst.⁴ We envisioned that this approach may offer a general, functional-group-tolerant and convenient strategy for th[e](#page-2-0) addition of alkynes to acetals. However, to date, this method has been limited to oxocarbenium ions that lack β -hydrogens and therefore cannot undergo decomposition via E1 elimination (Scheme 1A). We now report a zinc-catalyzed alkynylation of α -halo tetrahydropyranyl and tetrahydrofuranyl acetals to deliver trans-3-halo-2-alkynyl oxygen heterocycles in high yields and excellent levels of diasteroselectivity (Scheme 1B). When combined with the efficient preparation of the 3 halo-2-acetoxy substrates via halogenation of cyclic enol ethers,⁵ this method enables a difunctionalization of cyclic enol ethers. The halide substituent not only promotes higher yields in th[e](#page-2-0) alkynylation but also provides the trans-3-bromo-2-alkyl oxygen heterocycle motif present in a number of marine natural products,^{1a−c,6} as well as a handle for further elaboration.^{2a}

We began our investigation with the addition of phenyl acetylen[e to 2](#page-2-0)-acetoxytetrahydropyran, which can be [ea](#page-2-0)sily prepared from dihydropyran.⁷ Although CuI and ZnBr_2 were both effective catalysts in the alkynylation of benzopyranyl acetals 2 and $3,4$ ^b the use of a copper(I) catalyst in the alkynylation of acetal 8 provided only trace product (20 mol % CuI, $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$, [NE](#page-2-0)t₃, Et₂O, rt, 24 h, 4% yield by ¹H NMR analysis). However, desired product 9 was observed when $ZnBr₂$ was used as catalyst (Table 1, entry 1). The yield of 9 was increased to 50% by using dioxane as solvent (entries 2 and 3), but further attempts to [optimize](#page-1-0) the reaction failed. We assume that competitive E1 elimination of the oxocarbenium ion and subsequent polymerization of the resulting dihydropyran prevents higher yields.⁸

We envisioned that the use of an α -bromo substituent might electronically disfavor [E1](#page-2-0) elimination, as well as control diastereoselectivity and provide a second functional group handle in the product. trans-3-Bromo-2-acetoxy pyran 4a was readily prepared in 85% yield and 7:1 dr via bromination of dihydropyran in acetic acid.⁵ Alkynylation of acetal $4a$ resulted in only 38% yield when dioxane was used as solvent (entry 4). Use of CH_2Cl_2 as solvent r[es](#page-2-0)ulted in an increased yield of 75% (entry 5). By increasing the equivalents of BF_3 ·OEt₂, an 85% yield of 10 was observed. Under all conditions, a single

Received: June 25, 2015 Published: July 21, 2015

Table 1. Reaction Optimization^a

	X 'OAc $8, X = H$ $4a. X = Br$	λ $= -Ph$ 10 mol % ZnBr ₂ BF ₃ ·OEt ₂ , i-Pr ₂ NEt rt. 24 h 'Ph $9, X = H$ 10, $X = Br$		
entry	acetal	solvent	equiv $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$	yield $(\%)^b$
1	8	Et ₂ O	1.3	19
$\overline{2}$	8	dioxane	1.3	50
3	8	CH_2Cl_2	1.3	28
$\overline{4}$	4a	dioxane	2.0	38
5	4a	CH,Cl,	2.0	75
6	4a	CH,Cl,	3.0	85

 a^a Conditions: acetal (0.10 mmol, 1.0 equiv), ZnBr_2 (0.010 mmol, 10 mol %), alkyne (0.13 mmol, 1.3 equiv), BF_3 ·OEt₂ (0.15 mmol, 1.5 equiv), i -Pr₂NEt (0.15 mmol, 1.5 equiv), solvent (0.20 M). Determined by ¹H NMR analysis using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal standard.

diastereomer of 10 was formed. A promising, albeit not synthetically useful, yield was observed when the bromination/ alkynylation was performed in one pot; a 15% yield of alkyne 10 was obtained when dihydropryran 11a was treated with NBS, followed by $ZnBr_2$, phenyl acetylene, *i*-Pr₂NEt, and BF₃· OEt_2 .

Under the optimized conditions, a wide variety of terminal alkynes undergo reaction with α -bromoacetal 4a (Scheme 2).

Scheme 2. Scope of Alkynes

a Conditions: 11a (11.5 mmol, 1.0 equiv), NBS (1.3 equiv), AcOH (10.0 equiv), 0° C to rt. b Acetal 4a (0.50 mmol, 1.0 equiv), ZnBr_2 (0.050 mmol, 10 mol %), alkyne (0.65 mmol, 1.3 equiv), BF_3 ·OEt₂ (1.5 mmol, 3.0 equiv), i -Pr₂NEt (0.75 mmol, 1.5 equiv), CH_2Cl_2 (0.18 M), rt, 24 h. Average isolated yields of duplicate experiments $(\pm 6\%)$, ergy can also a state of the supplement.

With aryl-substituted alkynes, substituents are well tolerated at the ortho, meta, and para positions. Alkynes with both electronrich (12) and electron-poor (13−18) aryl groups can be utilized. In addition, a range of functional groups are tolerated, including chloride (13), ether (14), trifluoromethyl (15), nitrile (16), fluoride (17), and ester (18). Alkynes with aliphatic substitution are also successful in this alkynylation (19 and 20).

Addition of trimethylsilylacetylene was also effective, allowing access to terminal alkynes via deprotection of the TMS group (see below). In every case in Scheme 2, a single diastereomer of product was observed, consistent with our hypothesis that the α -bromide may stabilize the oxocarbenium ion via a bromonium-like structure (see 5 in Scheme 1B). The trans configuration of product 16 was confirmed by X-ray crystallography.⁹ The configurations [of other p](#page-0-0)roducts were assigned by analogy.

This haloge[na](#page-2-0)tion/alkynylation sequence was also successful in the preparation of other trans-3-halo-2-alkynyl cyclic ethers (Table 2). As for dihydropyran 11a, this sequence resulted in a

Table 2. Scope of Halogens and Enol Ethers

 a Conditions: 11 (1.0 equiv), NBS (1.3 equiv), AcOH (10.0 equiv), 0 ^oC to rt. Yields of single experiments. ^bAcetal 4 (0.50 mmol, 1.0 equiv), $ZnBr_2$ (0.050 mmol, 10 mol %), phenyl acetylene (0.65 mmol, 1.3 equiv), $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ (1.5 mmol, 3.0 equiv), *i*-Pr₂NEt (0.75 mmol, 1.5 equiv), CH_2Cl_2 (0.18 M), rt, 24 h. Average isolated yields of duplicate experiments $(\pm 4\%)$. ^c17:1 dr. ^dBromination conditions: 11 (1.0) equiv), NBS (1.3 equiv), AcOH (10.0 equiv), CH_2Cl_2 (3.0 mL), 0 °C. NBS was replaced by NCS. $f_{2.9:1}$ dr. $g_{17:1}$ dr.

single diastereomer for each 3-bromo-2-alkynyl heterocycle shown in Table 2 (entries 1−4). The bromination and alkynylation of dihydrofuran 11b proceeded in 71% (17:1 dr) and 75% yields, respectively, demonstrating that this strategy is not limited to pyrans (entry 1). Importantly, the bromination of substituted dihydrofuran 11c proceeded in high diastereoselectivity, ultimately giving a single diastereomer of 3 bromo-2-alkynyl furan 22c (entry 2). The relative configuration of 22c was assigned by analogy to the configuration of its acetal precursor (4c), which was determined by X-ray crystallography.⁹ Isochromene 11d and vinylogous enol ether 11e also underwent the bromination/alkynylation in high yields (entries 3 an[d](#page-2-0) 4). For these latter substrates (11c−11e), the

bromination reactions were performed in $AcOH/CH_2Cl_2$ instead of neat AcOH to avoid decomposition of the bromo acetal intermediates.

In addition to bromination, chlorination of dihydropyran 11a can be performed, albeit in lower yield and diastereoselectivity than the analogous bromination (Table 2, entry 5). Subsequent alkynylation of the α -chloro acetal delivered 22f in moderate yield, but excellent diastereo[selectivi](#page-1-0)ty (17:1 dr). The analogous iodination was not successful.

Elaboration of the alkyne products can be accomplished in high yields to give single diastereomers of products (Scheme 3). For example, hydrogenation of alkyne 10 resulted in

Scheme 3. Elaboration of Products

quantitative formation of tetrahydropyran 23. After deprotection of the trimethylsilyl group of alkyne 21, a coppercatalyzed Click reaction delivers triazole in 71% yield.¹⁰ The bromide also provides a handle for functionalization; elimination delivers enyne 24 in moderate yield.

In summary, we have developed mild reaction conditions for the addition of unfunctionalized, terminal alkynes to α -halo oxocarbenium ions, formed in situ from acetal precursors. When coupled with halogenation, this method enables the preparation of difunctionalized oxygen heterocycles from simple enol ether precursors in excellent diastereoselectivities. Ongoing efforts are directed toward the application of this method to additional enol ether substrates, as well as glycals, to enable efficient preparation of multisubstituted oxygen heterocycles.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

Experimental procedures, X-ray crystal structure, characterization data, and spectra of new compounds. The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.orglett.5b01838.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: mpwatson@udel.edu.

Present Addresses

† The Chemours Company FC, LLC, Experimental Station 402/4331, 200 Powder Mill Rd., Wilmington, DE 19803, Tatsiana.Haidzinskaya@chemours.com.

‡ University of Michigan, Department of Chemistry, 930 N. University Ave., Ann Arbor, MI 48109, hkerch@umich.edu.

Author Contributions

 $T.H., H.A.K., and J.L. contributed equally.$ **Notes**

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Acknowledgement is gratefully made to the National Science Foundation (CAREER CHE 1151364) for support of this research. H.A.K. thanks the American Chemical Society Division of Organic Chemistry and GlaxoSmithKline for summer undergraduate research fellowships. NMR and other data were acquired at UD on instruments obtained with the assistance of NSF and NIH funding (NSF CHE 0421224, CHE 1229234, and CHE 0840401; NIH P20 GM103541 and S10 RR02682).

■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Kennedy, D. J.; Selby, I. A.; Cowe, H. J.; Cox, P. J.; Thomson, R. H. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1984, 153. (b) Irie, T.; Suzuki, M.; Masamune, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1965, 6, 1091. (c) Irie, T.; Suzuki, M.; Masamune, T. Tetrahedron 1968, 24, 4193. (d) Cai, X.; Chorghade, M. S.; Fura, A.; Grewal, G. S.; Jauregui, K. A.; Lounsbury, H. A.; Scannell, R. T.; Yeh, C. G.; Young, M. A.; Yu, S.; Guo, L.; Moriarty, R. M.; Penmasta, R.; Rao, M. S.; Singhal, R. K.; Song, Z.; Staszewski, J. P.; Tuladhar, S. M.; Yang, S. Org. Process Res. Dev. 1999, 3, 73. (e) Suzuki, T.; Koizumi, K.; Suzuki, M.; Kurosawa, E. Chem. Lett. 1983, 1643. (f) Kool, E. Acc. Chem. Res. 2002, 35, 936. (g) Hocek, M.; Stambasky, J.; Kocovsky, P. Chem. Rev. 2009, 109, 6729.

(2) (a) Karadogan, B.; Edwards, N.; Parsons, P. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 5931. (b) Lubin-Germain, N.; Baltaze, J.-P.; Coste, A.; Hallonet, A.; Laureano, H.; Legrave, G.; Uziel, J.; Auge, J. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 725. (c) Zeng, J.; Vedachalam, S.; Xiang, S.; Liu, X.-W. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 42.

(3) For addition of alkynes to glycals via allylic substitution, see: (a) Kusunuru, A. K.; Tatina, M.; Yousuf, S. K.; Mukherjee, D. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 10154. (b) Tatina, M. B.; Kusunuru, A. K.; Yousuf, S. K.; Mukherjee, D. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2014, 12, 7900.

(4) (a) Maity, P.; Srinivas, H. D.; Watson, M. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 17142. (b) Srinivas, H. D.; Maity, P.; Yap, G. P. A.; Watson, M. P. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 4003.

(5) (a) Shelton, J. R.; Cialdella, C. J. Org. Chem. 1958, 23, 1128. (b) Bateman, G.; Brown, B. R.; Campbell, J. B.; Cotton, C. A.; Johnson, P.; Mulqueen, P.; O'Neill, D.; Shaw, M. R.; Smith, R. A.; Troke, J. A. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1983, 2903.

(6) For syntheses of these classes of natural products, see: (a) Park, J.; Kim, B.; Kim, H.; Kim, S.; Kim, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 4726. (b) Masamune, T.; Matsue, H.; Murase, H. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1979, 52, 127. (c) Burton, J. W.; Clark, J. S.; Derrer, S.; Stork, T. C.; Bendall, J. G.; Holmes, A. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 7483. (d) Krü ger, J.; Hoffmann, R. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 7499. (e) Crimmins, M. T.; Emmitte, K. A. Org. Lett. 1999, 1, 2029. (f) Baek, S.; Jo, H.; Kim, H.; Kim, H.; Kim, S.; Kim, D. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 75. (7) Iwanami, K.; Aoyagi, M.; Oriyama, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 2006, 47, 4741.

(8) Kamio, V. K.; Meyersen, K.; Schulz, R. C.; Kern, W. Makromol. Chem. 1966, 90, 187.

(9) CCDC 973169 (16) and 1404542 (4c) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.

(10) Rostovtsev, V. V.; Green, L. G.; Fokin, V. V.; Sharpless, K. B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 2596.

The authors declare no competing financial interest.